**REVIEW FORM**

Manuscript title…………………………………………………………………………………………...

**Specific reviewer’s comments, and constructive critique to be passed to the author/s. Please expand on any weak areas in the review form and offer specific advice in the space overleaf as to how the author(s) may improve the paper.**

**The high-quality review contains comprehensive comments for the authors.**

**Comments are required, please.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Manuscript evaluation:** | | |
| **0.** | **General opinion** | |
|  | | |
| **1.** | **Is the Title appropriate to the content?** | |
| **Concerns**  *(Please, comment if the Title should be changed)* | | |
|  | | |
| **2.** | **The Abstract is prepared properly** | |
| **Concerns**  *(Please, comment whether the Abstract contains: purpose; design/methodology/research approach; findings /research and practical implications/originality/value)* | | |
|  | | |
| **3.** | **The Introduction contains proper elements** | |
| **Concerns**  *(rationale for study, whether aim and research question(s), research gaps are identified/ if pertinent literature is reviewed, research reviewed and critiqued, important terms defined, the aim of the manuscript and/or research presented)* | | |
|  | | |
| **4.** | **The Literature review/theoretical background contains proper elements** | |
| **Concerns**  *(Demonstration of an adequate understanding of the relevant literature, up to date sources, significant work(s) ignored or not); the author's position towards other researchers and research; hypotheses development based on previous studies, etc.)* | | |
|  | | |
| **5.** | **Methodology, method(s) and data are presented clearly** | |
| **Concerns**  *(Comment whether a sample (or population) is correct, data-gathering methods, measures are described, the procedures and analytic technique(s) described, reliability/validation of tools presented if applicable, etc. )* | | |
|  | | |
| **6.** | **Results and discussion are made properly** | |
| **Concerns**  *(Comment whether the results are made and presented properly, compared and contrasted with relevant literature conclusions drawn logically, tables and figures elaborated and presented correctly. Tone of the article displays an unbiased, impartial scientific attitude; comment on the results, reference to the main goal of the manuscript, the hypothesis/research problem; summary/overview of the most important discoveries; it should be shown whether they support or allow to reject the hypothesis, whether they answer research questions, how they translate into the achievement of goals; are they similar or different from the discoveries of other researchers; explanation of discoveries and speculations about them, supported by references to literature, etc.)* | | |
|  | | |
| **7.** | **Conclusions are formulated correctly** | |
| **Concerns**  *(Comment whether conclusions are related to the aim and research questions, hypotheses, implications for research and practice and directions for further research are introduced, limitations of the study are presented, etc.)* | | |
|  | | |
| **8.** | **The manuscript (select only one option)** | |
|  | **is accepted in its present version** |  |
|  | **can be published after minor changes** |  |
|  | **can be published after a major revision** |  |
|  | **is unsuitable for publication in JEMI** |  |